Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,162	JACCARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	ne cover sneet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replicates the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 time periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ppeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of t	he final rejection.
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension ar under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 December 2010</u> . A brief in of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior They raise new issues that would require further consideration They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspo	nding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See memo</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will n how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided bel The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-22 and 44-47</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficie was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and wa	e all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N	OT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See memo. 12 Note the attached information Displacure Statement(s) (BTO/SE	1/09\ Panor No(a)
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB13. ☐ Other:	(100) aper 140(5)
/	Mark L. Berch/
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624